

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/904,088	MALKIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Igor Borissov	3639	

All Participants:

(1) Igor Borissov.

(2) Nathaniel T. Wallace.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 November 2005

Time: 10:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 5-19, 21-23 and 26

Prior art documents discussed:


n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During the interview the authorization for examiner amendment was given by the applicant's representative. Specifically, Claims 2, 4, 17-21 and 24-26 are cancelled. The examiner indicated that Claims 1, 3, 5-16, 22 and 23 are allowed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)